

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115245
Title of the Manuscript:	SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF MAJOR INSECT PESTS IN MUNGBEAN, <i>Vigna radiata</i> (L. Wilczek)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The mungbean is widely cultivated in Asia and other relatively dry tropical and sub-tropical areas particularly in India, Bangladesh, China, Korea, Thailand, the Caribbean and parts of Africa. Like other beans, mungbean is a high value crop in dietary fibre and protein content and is also a source of magnesium, potassium and amino acids. They are often used as a delicious food and used as an ingredient in a number of dishes. So, researches about such legume plants/crops are very essential in this sub-continent. In this context, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The present article is suitable for agricultural research.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is effective and suitable one.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent. But some minor corrections may be made in references</p> <p>There are some minor mistakes those have been given with red mark in the article attached herewith.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are some minor errors in the article. Details are given bellow and, in the attachment above with red marks.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumanta Kumar Basak
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh