

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114766
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of germplasms for disease resistance against anthracnose of chilli caused by <i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> .
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reviewer's comment 1- Yes, The resistance capacity in the selected plant or any other crop are major issue in scientific point of view and also to know the update in related to addition are very significance in scientific community. ○ Reviewer's comment 2- Yes, This is the suitable title of the article. ○ Reviewer's comment 3 -The abstract is very much comprehensive, but readers must lacking the technique used for the germplasm screening. ○ Reviewer's comment 4 –Yes, the major subsections and structure of manuscript are much appropriate but in introduction you have given some space for germplasm (in introduction) and explain how a reader can easily understand the procedure of screening germplasm of test pathogen (<i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> which caused anthracnose of chilli). ○ Reviewer's comment 5 – Most of the aspect of manuscript are scientifically correct along Fig 1, Fig 2, there should be the evidence of methodology used. ○ Reviewer's comment 6 – Yes, Most of the references are sufficient and recent, more addition will be look like wastage of text. ○ Additional suggestion / comments - Introduction has to be reduce. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The language and the English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication able. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Keep it up and don't stop. Page number 7, Table number 3 & Table number 4, you have write Average PDI what and where are full form of it. Beside the concept of selected crop, disease and pathogen their loss, further have clear germplasm to the readers. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uzma Quadri
Department, University & Country	Maulana Azaz College of Arts, Science and Commerce, India