

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_114562
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCING SESAME YIELD IN ARID CONDITIONS THROUGH NPK FERTILIZER OPTIMIZATION
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript adds significance to the present state of the art in the cultivations and enhancement of yield in sesame cultivation. 2. Yes, the article's title is suitable for its content 3. The abstract is comprehensive and well written, and covers the high points of the manuscript 4. Yes, the structure of the manuscript is appropriate and the sections are well organized 5. The submitted manuscript is scientifically accurate. 6. The references (36 nos) are sufficient and recent 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language and quality of English is good and apt for communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I would suggest to properly format (i.e. center the contents of table 1,2,3) (It is good to have, not a must have), but strongly suggested before publication to improve readability</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankur Ajai Chaturvedi
Department, University & Country	Institute of Chemical Technology, Indian Institute of Chemical Engineers, India