

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPR_115540</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AN ASSESSMENT OF THE NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND EATING HABITS OF IN-SCHOOL ADOLESCENTS IN SOKOTO, NORTH-WESTERN NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant contribution was made for scientific community by assessing the Nutritional Status and Eating Habits hence lifestyle is the root cause for many Non communicable diseases.</li> <li>An Assessment of the Nutritional Status and Eating Habits of Adolescents in Selected School, Sokoto, North-Western Nigeria</li> <li>In Methods, Grammar to be taken care and write up can be little more comprehensive</li> <li>Appropriate. Small improvement needed under materials and methods: add sub sections</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>References sufficient. But in terms of recent within five years cab better reflects the current society. From 2017 can be better.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>To be improved in many places. Sentence making and grammar to be improvised for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Materials and methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grammar.</li> <li>The study was a cross-sectional survey conducted in Sokoto metropolis: can be (The Cross-sectional survey was conducted).</li> <li>Can be under different sub headings: approach, design, population, setting, tools and techniques, scoring and interpretation</li> <li>Reference number 16-20 mentioned in material and methods in various places. Not advisable. Inclusion and exclusion criteria is based on your study. No reference is needed. Materials and methods investigator adopted for their study should be mentioned, so reference is not needed.</li> <li>Together write the all exclusion criteria.</li> <li>Reference can be mention under sub headings of population and setting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Sample size calculation mention the reference number of previous study while writing description</li> <li>Sampling technique can be shown in Flow chart for better understanding and clarity</li> <li>Data collection technique: first line sentence to be corrected and put reference appropriately. Tools and Technique and data collection methods separately to be written.</li> <li>Results: need uniformity in table. Mention n above each table instead of with frequency</li> <li>Improvise write-ups in results. Mention the level of significance in each relationship for the beginners to understand.</li> <li>Discussion: discuss under each objective or each section of analysis for better clarity.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P. Hemavathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Nursing, Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences, Pondicherry University, India</b>