

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_115122
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC DEBT AND POVERTY IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the relationship between public debt and poverty in Nigeria, which is a significant and timely topic. The findings could provide valuable insights for policymakers and researchers interested in economic development and poverty alleviation strategies. The title may be modified as, "The Impact of Public Debt on Poverty in Nigeria: A Vector Autoregressive Analysis," which is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus of the study The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it provides a clear overview of the research objectives, methods, key findings, and implications. However, it could be improved by including specific results or conclusions from the study. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate as they follow a logical flow from introduction to methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. Each section is well-defined and contributes to the overall coherence of the manuscript. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it employs the appropriate methodology (Vector Autoregressive Analysis) to analyze the relationship between public debt and poverty in Nigeria. The results are presented clearly and are supported by relevant data and analysis. The references provided in the manuscript are sufficient and include a good mix of recent and relevant studies. However, to enhance the comprehensiveness of the literature review, the authors may consider including additional references that provide further context or support for their findings. Also, consider adding recent publications that strengthen the theoretical framework or support the research findings. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are a few instances where the wording could be improved for clarity or precision. Consider reviewing the manuscript for any grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, or ambiguous language to ensure that the text is clear and easily understandable to readers.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Arun
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's College of Engineering, India