

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_114393
Title of the Manuscript:	The Interplay between Fiscal and Monetary Policies in Nigeria: An Examination of Their Dynamic Relationship
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, it's a worthwhile study. it needs to be considered.</li> <li>2. Yes, the title is also very impressive.</li> <li>3. Abstract of article is comprehensive.</li> <li>4. Subsections of manuscript are also appropriate.</li> <li>5. Yes, I think it is scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. Each reference needs to be checked again, and it should be according to journal format.</li> <li>7. In introduction part, significance of the study, a paragraph on these important things would make your study worthy.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>English language and Grammatical mistakes along with structure need to be refined.</p>	
<p><b>Main</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In literature review, some of the studies are very outdated, kindly include latest studies of 2022-2024. And also add literature gaps at end of literature review.</li> <li>2. What are your main estimation results in this study, highlight it clearly.</li> <li>3. There are also no limitations of this study section at end of the study.</li> <li>4. Add future recommendations</li> <li>5. Add theoretical framework in your study to make it worthwhile.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali Zeb</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Business, Zhengzhou University, China</b>