

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_115225
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Dietary Probiotic Supplement on the Haematological Status of Experimental Wistar Rats Infected with Trypanosoma brucei brucei
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes it is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, but there are some changes required which are mentioned in general comments.</p> <p>No, there must be some rearrangements and changes in structure which are mentioned in detail in general comments. Yes</p> <p>Yes, references are sufficient but the more recent references i.e. after 2015 can still be added.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First of all font size and style is not same in the whole manuscript which is the matter of great concern. • In abstract delete the data highlighted in yellow colour. The data highlighted in green colour should be written in simplified form to make it easy for a reader to understand. • In introduction focus on information related to rats. Don't give details and data related to cattle and livestock industry as it seems unnecessary. • In paragraph no. 3 of introduction correct the citation style and follow the same pattern for citation/referencing in whole manuscript. • Last 2 paragraphs of introduction should be removed from introduction as these should be the part of discussion. • At the end of introduction write down the objectives and significance of your study in 2-3 lines. • In experimental design give the detail of groups only one time either in the form of paragraph or separately below. Don't write in both places at a time. • In parasitr strain only write the source of parasitic strains while avoid the additional details regarding conditions for transportation. • Write the Inoculation and blood collection as same heading and only cite the method used avoiding extra details. • 3 heading i.e. Preparation of thin and thick blood films, determination of baseline parasitemia and microscopy should be combined under single heading 'Microscopic examination or Microscopy. • All parameters of blood including packed cell volume+ RBCs+ WBCs count+ Hemoglobin concentration should be combined as 'Determination of haematological parameters'. Don't write detailed procedure for determination of each parameter as it is not your thesis. Only Enlist the parameters and cite the reference of specific method followed. • At the start of results give a main heading as 'Effect of dietary probiotic supplements on haematological parameters of wistar rats infected with <i>Trypanosoma brucei brucei</i> . Then write down the effect on all the blood parameters as sub heading under this. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In discussion there is no heading present as per rule it should be written straight in the form of paragraphs so remove the headings highlighted in yellow colour.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Duaa Mir
Department, University & Country	Pakistan