

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114921
Title of the Manuscript:	EPG and pasture larval count of gastrointestinal nematodes are strongly influenced by weather parameters: empirical evidence from Parbhani Marathwada
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript "Ms_JSRR_114404" is important for scientific community because it shows forth a scientific investigation. It demonstrates the efficacy of the applied manure .The subject matter will trend in the wake Climate Change agitation. 2. Yes. 3. Abstract is not comprehensive it takes patience and perseverance to read. 4. Yes. journals guideline is recommended. 5. Somewhat; there need to be significant improvement in both the grammatical and technical languages. 6. The references should be updated to be within 5 years old 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	I suggest that the manuscript be reviewed by English language editor/software to purge all grammatical errors. There are many of such.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Good Discussion. Results should be separated from discussion and tabulated or put in graphs.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Helal Uddin
Department, University & Country	Barguna Government College, Bangladesh