

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114651
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on sensory evaluation and the optimum levels of Calcutta betel vine (Piper betel) leaves extract in the preparation of Shrikhand
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the sensory quality and overall acceptability of the prepared material would be suitable for large scale production.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The entire document should be double check for formatting, spacing and grammar mistakes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Manuscript Number: Ms_JABB_114651</p> <p>Title: Study on sensory evaluation and the optimum levels of Calcutta betel vine (Piper betel) leaves extract in the preparation of Shrikhand</p> <p>1. Quantify the abstract and point out and report key results.</p> <p>2. In the introduction, the authors need to compare the literature results and provide clear insight into the necessity of conducting this research.</p> <p>3. The author's outlook can be added at the end.</p> <p>4. Write the specific objectives of this work clearly, in one paragraph, as points (i).... (ii).... (iii)and (iv).</p> <p>5. Please check the entire document for formatting, spacing and grammar mistakes.</p> <p>6. Conclusion part should be rewritten by giving the results of the study, recommendations and future prospects.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roya Mehrkhah
Department, University & Country	South Korea