

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115416
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic manures and foliar application on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of Kalmegh (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> Nees.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript explains the study on the effect of organic manures and foliar application of organics on growth and yield of Kalmegh. It is a nice piece of work.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The authors may include the references of P. Manivel.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Reviewer's comment</p> <p>It's a nice piece of work. Few observations are given below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is observed from literature studies that foliar application may not be as efficient for delivering all essential nutrients compared to soil application. Some nutrient are poorly absorbed through leaves. The authors are requested to address it. 2. The authors have mentioned about the effect of organic manure. The organic manures like compost or farmyard manure can vary considerably in nutrient content depending on the source material and composting process. Will it be ease in replicating results with standard practices? 3. The authors may include factors like genetics, irrigation, pest and disease management in the articles. This may increase the interest of readers. 4. The spelling mistakes of the authors were noticed. For eg., Ref. No 14. "Prabhu M, Rmesh Kumar A and....." 5. The authors have cited only one of the recent references. Why? Is it not an area of current interest? 6. The research can provide valuable insights but it does not capture the entire picture of Kalmegh cultivation. 7. In addition to the tabular column, the authors may provide pictures to validate their result. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Manivannan
Department, University & Country	SRM University, India