

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115169
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of sweet and high biomass sorghum lines with optimized cell wall components for increased biofuel bioconversion yield
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>No it looks like a report not a scientific paper</p> <p>Title: The suitability of title must indicate the gap to be filled and the way to be solved, but title is not new in general.</p> <p>Abstract: Abstract is the outcome of the work done as whole (summary of introduction, objective, methodology, result or findings and conclusion and its implication). However, the author(s) didn't mention any experimental apparatus both in methodology and result and discussion to determine phytochemical properties of the results. So it is difficult to judge the abstract.</p> <p>Subsections: more less (somewhat)</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? I do not think</p> <p>Reference: the reference is not sufficient especially in the result and discussion part.</p> <p>In general this manuscript not meets the scientific paper criteria.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Scientific manuscripts are typically reviewed by journals and reviewers based on several key criteria. Such as Originality, Significance, Clarity and Structure, Methodology, Results and Discussion: References, Figures and Tables etc. but this paper not fit those criteria's.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ketema Beyecha Hundie
Department, University & Country	School of Chemical Engineering, Jimma institute of Technology, Jimma University, Ethiopia