

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_113356
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of irrigation water on the bacteriological quality of lettuce (<i>Lactuca sativa</i>) produced in the market garden zone of Niamey, identification of enterobacteria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Average</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (Microbial analysis (or contamination) of irrigated water and lettuce (<i>Lactuca sativa</i>) in the market garden zone of Niamey)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract must be rewrite (see comment in the file)</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? I have add some one</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language must be improved	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The materials and methods section needs to be clearly rewritten, describing the protocol used for germ identification. You don't just need to specify the standards used, especially as you've just called on presumptive testing. The article should not be published without these details. I have suggest element for improving the conclusion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boma Soudah
Department, University & Country	Togolese Institute of Agricultural Research, Togo