

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_115194
Title of the Manuscript:	PATTERN OF ARRHYTHMIA AMONG HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS IN SOUTH-SOUTH, NIGERIA: A 24-H AMBULATORY ECG (HOLTER ECG) STUDY.
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the study brings to attention the need of holter study in the state.</p> <p>The study is presumed to be a case series evaluation of people undergoing holter therapy, may I suggest revision of the title in line with the study</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, the paper has been well written however may I suggest including the methodology of the study to provide a clear picture to the reader. Inferential statistics have been used but there is no assumptions provided by the author. Considering the novelty of the research in the state the paper is scientifically correct providing base for further research.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quality of the language used in the paper is lucid and easy for the scholars to understand.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jerry Jacob</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Morrison Hospital, SBUHB, United Kingdom</b>