

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_115351
Title of the Manuscript:	Single stage reconstruction of a post traumatic complete penoscrotal degloving injury: A case report and Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the case study is much important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, the title is fit for article.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is sounds good.</p> <p>Yes, every session in the manuscript is perfect.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically great.</p> <p>References are perfectly placed. But, the reference cited was very old and authors has to find new references for citation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Well organized	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The thoroughness of the case presentation is appreciated, as it offers valuable insights for the scientific community. Nevertheless, there are several observations that require attention from the authors before this review can be finalized</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors provides valuable insight into the management of a rare and potentially challenging injury. However, it would benefit from further discussion on the specific techniques utilized during the partial thickness skin grafting procedure, including considerations for graft viability and long-term outcomes. 2. The authors should enhance the scientific rigor, it would be beneficial to include objective measures of functional and aesthetic outcomes, such as standardized scoring systems or patient-reported outcome measures. 3. It would be valuable to discuss any considerations for optimizing wound healing and scar management in penoscrotal skin grafting procedures, as these factors can significantly impact long-term patient satisfaction and quality of life. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. P. Jose Merlin
Department, University & Country	University of Johannesburg, South Africa