

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_114580
Title of the Manuscript:	Summary of calculation of shear capacity of Perfobond Rib Shear Connectors
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Not really since it's just a summary of few existing papers</p> <p>2. No</p> <p>3. In the abstract there is no clear method explained, the result also not too clear</p> <p>4. There is no method and analysis in the subsection</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. The references number 2 and 3 are exactly the same. For a summary paper, the references should reach minimum of 25 paper</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research method is not explained clearly in the paper</p> <p>References number 2 and 3 are exactly the same</p> <p>Add another references in your summary paper</p> <p>The analysis and result are not clear enough</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sekar Mentari
Department, University & Country	Tanri Abeng University, Indonesia