

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_114403
Title of the Manuscript:	Revisiting the nexus between renewable energy consumption and economic growth in Nigeria: The VECM approach.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript holds significance for the community as it adds to the current understanding in the field of energy and power engineering.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes, it is thorough since it covers a wide range of literary works.</p> <p>The study's design aligns with its objectives, the methodologies are explicated properly, and the subsections and structure are coherently organized.</p> <p>Yes, the techniques employed are suitable for achieving the study's objectives, and the motivations for conducting the investigation are well stated.</p> <p>Yes, considering that the majority of the references are listed and that some of them were just published a few years ago and are new to the essay.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but even if these few appear acceptable for the text, there aren't enough key phrases for it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The findings are appropriately stated in the context of the existing literature, and the results are presented in an acceptable fashion with clear explanations.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Owdean Moses Suwi
Department, University & Country	Mbeya University of Science and Technology, University of Dar Es salaam, Tanzania