

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115354
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Cotton Planting Efficiency: Optimization of Power Tiller Operated Pneumatic Planter under Laboratory Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, however, the authors should specify the parameter that will be optimized in the title, i.e., the cotton planter's efficiency or the power source (pneumatic). The title should be revised to make it more specific. adjustment of the title can be made by the authors. Enhancing Cotton Planting Efficiency of Power Tiller Planter under Laboratory Conditions yes, but unnecessary information should be deleted. The methodology should be rewritten step by step. yes. Yes, but some corrections are needed Nope, and there are few. The authors could update the reference list to at least 30. <p>I suggest to add references from the work conducted in three recent years to able to validate the results obtained in the optimization.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes,	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bizimungu Gaspard
Department, University & Country	Rwanda Polytechnic, College of Karongi, Rwanda