

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114543
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Gibberellic acid and Cytokinin on growth ,quality and yield of French bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) cv. Ellora NO. 11
Type of the Article	Research paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Ofourse. From this research it is know how phytohormones like gibberellins and cytokinin are important for growth and development of plants</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Numbering is inappropriate Check result and discussion sub title</p> <p>Yes correct</p> <p>Most of the references are old dated, mention some recent references.</p> <p>In introduction section- at end write what is the aim of this research. In result section- almost all parameters are maximum in T1 followed by T7, T5, T3, T9</p> <p>Why not in a manner of T1, T2, T3 ----- Maximum in T1 then T7- give reason. Conclusion section is poorly written</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zakir Hussain Malik
Department, University & Country	Silapathar Science College, India