

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114543
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Gibberellic acid and Cytokinin on growth, quality and yield of French bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) cv. Ellora NO. 11
Type of the Article	Original

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes Manuscript gives the information regarding the effect of GA3 and Cytokinin on growth, quality and yield and of French bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) cv. Ellora No 11.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Minimum number of recent references are included</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, There are maximum grammatical and communication errors in the manuscript which makes it unsuitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum grammatical, spelling and communication errors in the manuscript. Structure of manuscript is not appropriate, there are maximum typo errors and also fonts are not identical throughout. Subsection headings are not appropriate. Tables are not in a uniform format. The procedural part of calculation of protein, fibre, vitamin C etc. are missing. Comparative graphs of various treatments are missing. Abbreviations at their first mention are not defined. Table information are not appropriate, example in Table 1, T4 represents two treatments. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Basit Wani
Department, University & Country	University of Kashmir, India