

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114302
Title of the Manuscript:	Predatory capacity of the green lacewing <i>Chrysoperla zastrowi</i> (Esben-Petersons) on different species of aphids under laboratory conditions
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript will provide important insights in the field of agricultural sciences. Thus, this manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, several points were lacking in explanation, so I wrote them directly into the manuscript.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Review comments on the manuscripts were written directly in the manuscripts.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Keigo Takahashi
Department, University & Country	Graduate School of Bioresource Sciences, Nihon University, Japan