

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_112815
Title of the Manuscript:	Genotypic Characterization and Virulence Features of Bacteria Associated with Poultry Meat
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. YES. The Manuscript is very important to the scientific community. Meat consumption is very vital for human health, as such, the results obtained in this research would assist in managing some public health issues associated with microbes born by poultry.</p> <p>2. YES. The title is suitable. However, poultry meat is too broad. The author may consider being specific by mentioning the type of poultry being examined. Eg chickens etc.</p> <p>3. NO. The abstract is not comprehensive. The abstract did not mention the type of poultry that was used in the experiment. The abstract did not mention or indicate the sample size. This is very important in a scientific investigation. This is a scientific article, the author may consider removing RIVER STATE on the key words. He may consider using Molecular identification or Heterotrophic etc</p> <p>4. NO. Some subsections are very misleading. For example, on COLLECTION OF SAMPLES, the author is saying sixty five samples in brackets its 125. What does this stands for or mean? I have also noted that some terms are not being used correctly. For instance, the Author is using BIOFILM or BIOFIM. The terms in this article should be checked and corrected accordingly.</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. On the TABLES, the author may consider putting the meaning of the superscripts abc at the bottom each table. He may refer to my (steven mussah) articles on the internet.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Steven Richard Mussah
Department, University & Country	Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Malawi