

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_INDJ_114821</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Epidemiological and clinical aspects of pregnant women with HIV attended at the Tropical Diseases Hospital of the Federal University of Tocantins.</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1. <b>Yes, it is good for scientific community.</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title is 100% suitable</b></p> <p>3. <b>It is comprehensive but the tables and data needs some corrections as indicated with red comments.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>The reference is sufficient and recent but the arrangement is poor. Needs to arrange the reference to follow the journal reference style.</b></p> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>The English language quality is good and suitable for scholarly communications. The author should review my comments writing in "red" and give justifications to them.</p>  |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Good manuscript.</p>  |  |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |  |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Onyechege Declan Chibueze</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>School of Business and Economics, University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia</b> |