

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115096
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF BIOFERTIGATION AND CRUDE EDIBLE OILS APPLICATION ON GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS OF PAPAYA (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.) cv. RED LADY
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community. 2. Yes, the title suitable with the article. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article have comprehensive. 4. Yes, subsections and structure appropriate with the manuscript. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Yes, the reference have sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This research discusses an experiment the influence of biofertilization and crude edible oils application on growth, floral and fruit characteristics of papaya (<i>Carica Papaya</i> L.) cv. Red Lady. Various experiment were carried out in a Factorial RBD with three replications consisted of two factors viz., biofertilizers at three levels and crude edible oil at five levels with fifteen treatment combinations for each experiment. The topic discussed seems interesting to readers in related fields, but of course, this manuscript needs improvement to make it better. Several revisions are suggested to the author(s),</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract must briefly describe the step for solving research problems experimentally. Please mention that the treatment is fifteen times for each experimental specimen. 2. In the introduction section: The author(s) need to re-emphasize something very unique about this research. Describe the advantages of this research compared to others. Is there anything new? 3. In the experiment section: The grand design for experiment needs to be described first at the beginning of the methods section. On the other hand, how are the experiment steps scenarios at different stages? Of course, this scenario must be consistent with the scope of the discussion to answer the research objectives. 4. The results and discussion have been adequately disclosed. It seems that the author(s) need to explain the significant contribution to the development of a specific scientific field. 5. The conclusion is supported by experimental data. However, a more comprehensive description of the conclusions can be improved based on the accumulation of data from 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>various tests.</p> <p>The research explores an experiment the influence of biofertilization and crude edible oils application on growth characteristics of papaya (<i>carica papaya</i> l.) cv. Red Lady. The manuscript has been well organized by the author(s), and all main elements were fulfilled. The topics discussed may be intriguing to readers in relevant fields; yet, this manuscript needs enhancement to enhance its quality. Minor concerns should be addressed by the author(s) to have a better presentation.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurfa Anisa
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wisnuwardhana Malang, Indonesia