

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_115228</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ISOLATION AND PHENOTYPIC IDENTIFICATION OF SOME MOLDS ISOLATED FROM PEANUT PASTES SOLD IN SOME PUBLIC MARKETS IN THE CITY OF DALOA (CENTRAL-WEST, CÔTE D'IVOIRE)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>RESEARCH ARTICLE</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>YES, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it addresses the presence of filamentous fungi, including potentially harmful species like Aspergillus species.</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES, the abstract of the article provides a concise overview of the study's objectives, methodology and key findings in conveying the essential information and findings of the study.</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES, based on the information provided in the document, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>YES, but only some references are recent. To ensure the manuscript includes the most up to date and relevant research. It may be beneficial to include recent references.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The writing style is formal and structured.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides valuable insights into the presence of filamentous fungi, particularly Aspergillus species, in peanut pastes sold in markets. The study's focus on evaluating fungal diversity and potential health risks associated with mycotoxin production contributes significantly to the field of food safety.</p> <p>Consider following suggestions to improve manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implement stringent quality control measures in the production of storage of peanut pastes to minimize contamination and mycotoxin risks.</li> <li>• Ensure compliance with food safety regulations and standards to prevent the sale of mold contaminated peanut pastes in public markets.</li> <li>• Focus on recent references.</li> <li>• Concentrate on way of writing and English grammar.</li> </ul>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ramalingappa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Davangere University, India</b>