

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_113966
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPREHENSIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF MUNICIPAL SEWAGE WATER: COMPOSITION, CONTAMINANTS, AND CHALLENGES
Type of the Article	

)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community but it needs thorough improvement before it can be considered for publication</p> <p>Yes, the title is alright</p> <p>No, the author(s) needs to give more details on the materials and methods employed in the study and make relevant recommendations</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, but the manuscript needs serious improvement in tenses before it can be considered for publication</p> <p>No, the references are not sufficient and not too recent?</p> <p>The author(s) needs to cite previous authors works related to this present study. The manuscript is not robust and the study is not linked with other publications</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, the language is not too clear. Thus, there is need to improve on the language and English</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The methodology of this study is not detailed. The author (s) should expatiate well on all the materials and methods employed in this study. There are grammatical errors in the manuscript</p> <p>State the standard methods employed in determining the water parameters The results obtained were compared within irrigation water quality standards? State the type of water quality standard you adopted Give more detailed explanation on the materials and methods used in this study Restructure the following statement and expatiate on the effects of the results obtained in the research: The results obtained for monsoon season, the mean value of BOD (562.31, 977 mg L⁻¹), COD (15270.44, 11138.63 mg L⁻¹), DO (7.72, 7.70 mg L⁻¹) and turbidity (11.20, 11.37 NTU) for surface water and ground water respectively. Similarly result obtained for post monsoon, the mean value of BOD (457.88, 904 mg L⁻¹), COD (7189.69, 9287.38 mg L⁻¹), DO (4.97, 4.93 mg L⁻¹) and turbidity (11.37, 11.20 mg L⁻¹) for surface water and ground water respectively. All the water quality parameters were within the recommended range of irrigation standards except the parameters BOD, COD, DO and turbidity. Write the full meaning of the following acronyms at the first instance: pH, EC, TDS, BOD, COD, DO On the basis of your findings state relevant recommendations for future studies and improvement of the water sources in the study area</p> <p>Introduction There are grammatical errors in the introduction. Please, improve on your sentences</p> <p>Study Area</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Give more details on the study area including the socioeconomic activities of the people in the area</p> <p>Results and Discussion The result and discussion in this manuscript are not robust. The author(s) need to give detailed explanation on the findings and relate the findings with previous articles related to this present study</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dave Elejo Ekpa
Department, University & Country	Institute of Ecology and Environmental Studies, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria