

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_113823
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Selected Biochemical Indices in Diabetic Wistar Rats Administered Methanol Leaf Extract of <i>Andropogon gayanus</i>
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I enjoyed in the reading of this manuscript, and believe that it is very promising. It is important for scientific community. 2. I suggest the suitable title of article is: Evaluation of biochemical changes in diabetic rats treated with Methanol Leaf Extract of <i>Andropogon gayanus</i> 3. I suggest that it is better the abstract must contain the conclusion clearly that shows the results of the research 4. Yes 5. Yes, it is scientifically important. It concluded the activity of <i>Andropogon gayanus</i> in the treatment of diabetic rats that good in future for further research to used it in DM. 6. Important to update the references and add the recent references because some if it old 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The paper should undergo professional language editing before it can be published with edit the spelling of some words</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I would like to thank you for choosing me to evaluate the manuscript with title</p> <p>Evaluation of Selected Biochemical Indices in Diabetic Wistar Rats Administered Methanol Leaf Extract of <i>Andropogon gayanus</i></p> <p>I enjoyed in reading this manuscript, and at the same time, I identified several issues that require the authors' attention." In fact, it is a well-written manuscript only needs to undergo a few minor changes by authors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title of the paper is broad in relation to the content of the paper. The best to change 2. Introduction: the researchers must mention the mechanism of Leaf Extract of <i>Andropogon gayanus</i> in this part 3. Result: the researchers used statistical analysis one-way nova, they must be mention the significant value in each column in tables to understand of results. So the present study has 5 groups statistically should use least significant difference (LSD) of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), which used to test the differences between mean of more than two group 4. Discussion: it is not sufficient and absence the reasons why <i>Andropogon gayanus</i> used for treatment? what is the mechanism of its effect on diabetic mellitus? I advised the researched to edit it and write the conclusion. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasha Naseer Mohammed
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmacy, University of Basra, Iraq