

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_113823
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Selected Biochemical Indices in Diabetic Wistar Rats Administered Methanol Leaf Extract of <i>Andropogon gayanus</i>
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Incomplete abstract. No conclusion.</p> <p>What was the voucher number given to the plant when deposited at the herbarium? Table 3 has no unit for Urea and creatinine? The article has no conclusion.</p> <p>Yes but the results would be better if presented in graphical formats with bar charts.</p> <p>The extraction method needs a reference. The references in the method section is too old. Consider citing recent papers in addition to the old references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language should be improved. Consider changing line 11 to This study aimed to. Line 13 were processed into. Line 18 treated with the extract. Line 108 The is characterized should be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript has great potential and should be improved.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluwaseun Fapohunda
Department, University & Country	University of Arizona, United States