

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_115473</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CONTROLLING PRACTICES OF TEACHERS AND STAKEHOLDERS COOPERATION AMIDST PANDEMIC CRISIS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The study addresses a crucial aspect of education during a challenging time, providing insights into how controlling practices of teachers can impact stakeholder cooperation in schools.</p> <p>An alternative title for the article could be: "Exploring Teacher Leadership and Collaborative Engagement: A Study on Controlling Practices and Stakeholder Cooperation in Public Elementary Schools During Crisis"</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive in summarizing the study on controlling practices of teachers and stakeholder cooperation in public elementary schools during the pandemic crisis.</p> <p>The manuscript seems to have clear subsections such as Abstract, Introduction, Results, Conclusions, and Recommendations. This structure helps in organizing the content logically and guiding the reader through the study. The subsections appear to be consistent with the standard structure of a research paper, which typically includes an abstract summarizing the study, an introduction providing background and objectives, results presenting the findings, conclusions summarizing the key outcomes, and recommendations for future actions .</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in terms of research design, data analysis, findings, recommendations, and ethical considerations.</p> <p>The references cited in the manuscript include relevant sources that contribute to the background, theoretical framework, and context of the study. The references cover a range of topics related to controlling practices, stakeholder cooperation, education, and organizational behavior.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript likely includes appropriate technical terminology relevant to the field of education and organizational behavior. The use of specialized vocabulary is common in scholarly writing and helps communicate complex concepts effectively. It follows an academic writing style suitable for scholarly publications. This style typically includes formal language, objective presentation of information, and adherence to academic conventions.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sofia Campos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Politécnico de Viseu, Portugal</b>