

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	AdvancesinResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AIR_115291
TitleoftheManuscript:	InfluenceofHeatTreatmentonFlowBehaviour IndexofDairyCream
Typeofthe Article	OriginalResearchArticle

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It highlights important issues the effect of temperature, fat content of milk and the flow rate. It shows the significance of heat treatment on milk.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It lacks the rationale of the study and the methods are not fully described, especially instrumental tools and equipment is lacking.</p> <p>Most of the subsections could be lacking Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>More references are required as most of the references give are too old. The following reference may be added: doi:10.1088/1757-899X/870/1/012032, doi:10.1016/j.idairyj.2005.12.003, DOI:10.5220/0009977900002833</p> <p>Introduction: Most of the literature reviewed is not cited/or referenced and is not diverse.</p> <p>Results: These tabulated results are not summarized in the text and are not discussed in relation to the earlier researches done related to the current research. It compels the author to discuss these results to make it more empirical, scientific and make the work clearer to the readers. This is one way of making the work connect with other researches.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion may include the trends of flow as temperature changes. Recommendations need to be included.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The work is publishable but after major corrections as I have guided above. The work requires serious discussion of the data to make it clear.</p>	

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aloys Mosima Osano
Department, University & Country	Maasai Mara University, Kenya