

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115233
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption and Impact of farmers about Finger Millet Production Technologies.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this research produces information as well as the knowledge needed related to the development of food production needs.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>There is inconsistency in the data collection method in the abstract and materials and method sub-section. In the abstract: semi-structured interview schedule, group meetings, recorded data, and empirical observations; in the materials and method: Survey, focussed group discussion, and personal interview.</p> <p>The summary title is typo: "summery"</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Too many references are out of date. The topic of technology adoption is an ever-evolving one. The development over time is also quite significant. Consider using references to the last 5 to 10 years, except for the theory or method that is the basis of the research theory. Do not use references that are thesis.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Dewa Made Adi Baskara Joni
Department, University & Country	Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia