

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115233
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption and Impact of farmers about Finger Millet Production Technologies.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important. It addresses adoption issues for technology to improve millet production. If all the technologies are adopted then maybe the yields will improve. The constraints have equally been identified and therefore farmers and the government can work to eliminate the constraints for better yields. 2. The title of the article can be reorganised to make it more understandable. For instance, I suggest "Farmers' adoption of finger millet production technologies and the impact on finger millet yields" 3. The author has given a summary of the purpose of the research, the objectives and findings. He/she can however improve the abstract by including recommendations and implication of the findings 4. Yes. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct as it has followed a scientific procedure to collect and analyse data. The author could however improve on study design and talk more about management of longitudinal survey as data collected is spread across several years of observation. 6. I suggest for the author to include more recent references especially to help in identifying knowledge gap 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The grammar is okay, however there are small grammatical errors that can be corrected as suggested in comments</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript adds knowledge especially in view of assisting farmers improve their yields. The findings should therefore be implemented fully</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Damaris Gesare Moturi
Department, University & Country	Jomo Kenyatta Univervisty of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya