

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111339
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Performance of rice genotypes under Direct seeded rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, this manuscript is important for the rice scientific community. Direct seeded rice pays great attribute for the agronomist and crop biologist to utilize their utility. If the authors really performed such an experiment then in future some product could be expected to achieve in rice growing areas. Improvement is required in the title to become more attractive Abstract is comprehensive. ok Improvement is required to arrange their structure. Introduction is not to the point. Improve by adding recent references if available. Also improve discussion a bit more. Add some recent references in introduction and discussion sections. <p>Additional Suggestions: Authors are directed to set table 2 and figure 1. Rephrase and set these by adding all relevant headings and captions.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Little bit improvement in english is required to become scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>By adding relevant and updated information in introduction and other section; so I think this article will be improved according to the scope/standard of your journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Amir Zia
Department, University & Country	National Institute for Genomics and Advanced Biotechnology, National Agricultural Research Centre, Pakistan