

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_114878
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF UROGENITAL SCHISTOSOMIASIS AMONG SCHOOL AGE CHILDREN IN RIVERINE AREA OF ANAMBRA WEST LGA, ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community and the health administration. the data would be of great help in planning and implementing the control/ eradication /elimination programs in the state/country.</p> <p>Not suitable . could alter the title as "Proportion of UROGENITAL SCHISTOSOMIASIS AMONG SCHOOL going CHILDREN IN south east region of NIGERIA. (since only the school going children are included in the study- not the population in the region)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. However the references may be arranged in such a way that the first reference is mentioned first in the literature.</p> <p>The calculation of prevalence needs at least the total school going population in the region has to be taken . The total number of children in the selected schools is not enough for the calculation of prevalence. In the discussion,(line 245) the prevalence estimated by WHO cannot be compared with the present study population as the denominator is not the population of the area studied. hence we cannot compare the present data with that of WHO</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. the English needs revision for grammar mistakes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renny Issac
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India