

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_113762
Title of the Manuscript:	Protected vegetable crop production for long-term sustainable food security
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is very important to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is appropriate but it would be better if the word "in India" is added to it. (it would then be Protected vegetable crop production for long-term sustainable food security in India)</p> <p>3. A comprehensive summary of the roles of protected cultivation and technologies used for production of vegetables under protected cultivation.</p> <p>4. There is need to summarize and reduce the introduction a bit.</p> <p>- The percentage rise in the Plastic tunnels over years needs to be backed up with evidence.</p> <p>-The source of the data in Table 1 'Crops Grown under Protected Cultivation' should be indicated.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is correct, but it needs to be enriched and deepened especially how protected cultivation of vegetable is done.</p> <p>6. The references are good, recent and sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- Yes, although it is recommended that the manuscript be reviewed by a proofreader.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Odit Emmanuel
Department, University & Country	Lira University, Uganda