

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_115264
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Color Therapy in Alleviating Academic Stress in High School Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Based on the initial evaluation, the manuscript needs more improvement in order to be within acceptable standards of writing a manuscript. However, there are some issues and concerns that need to be addressed accordingly as follows; The title need to be revised by showing the place where study took place. Abstract. Author/ authors needs to discuss briefly on the research design and approach used as well as the data analysis process. Key words 'blue colour and yellow colour' to be replaced with 'high school students' Some sub sections are missing like statement of the problem (what is the research problem behind the topic to be researched?) I suggest author/authors to revisit them as per journal format. Paragraphs in the introduction part needs to be rearranged. Manuscript still need more corrections to make it scientifically correct especially in the section of data analysis it needs more clarifications especially on how data were analysed and come up with the results. Authors used current references though most of them are missing in the text. They should make sure what is listed in the reference should also appear in text. NB: All factual materials that is not original with the author/authors must be accompanied by a reference to its source. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language used is acceptable and understandable though it needs little improvement to suit the scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally, the title is so interesting the authors only need to improve their manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarah Vincent Chiwamba
Department, University & Country	Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania