

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_114832
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE OF MOTHERS TOWARDS HUMAN PAPILLOMA VIRUS VACCINE UPTAKE IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This study has excellent scientific relevance as it aims to identify maternal knowledge and attitudes towards the HPV vaccine in Nigeria. Such research could provide important insights into factors influencing vaccine acceptance, thereby helping to design more effective interventions and campaigns to increase HPV vaccination rates and reduce the burden of cervical cancer in Nigeria and similar countries.</p> <p>2. The title "Knowledge and Attitudes of Mothers towards Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Uptake in Nigeria" seems appropriate to the content presented. This report briefly summarises the main focus of this study, namely assessing maternal knowledge and attitudes regarding HPV vaccination in the Nigerian context. It effectively communicates the subject matter and scope of the research to the audience, providing a clear indication of what to expect from the research findings. Additionally, the title aligns with academic convention by highlighting key variables and the geographic location of the study. Therefore, it is a suitable title for the article.</p> <p>3. The title describes the work well. The background is clearly described, but there is no research question yet. The method is appropriate. The results are presented clearly and given in enough detail to allow understanding, but the conclusion does not provide enough detail and correlation with results.</p> <p>4. The number of subsections for the "Ethical Consideration" need to be fixed. The manuscript is well-organized and easy to follow. There are some line spacing errors in the script, which need to be looked into. Please ensure that the text is edited to ensure proper usage of capital letters, as in "Justification of Study". Please make sure to adjust the formatting of the table so that the use of bold is consistent and appropriate. It's important to pay attention to the font used in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The methodology is sound, and the results are presented in a clear and concise manner, but the authors did not discuss the limitations of their study and suggest directions for future research.</p> <p>6. The references cited in the journal cover relevant topics related to HPV vaccination. However, there are still some references that are out of date, such as research by Boehner et al. in 2003.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, the writing and language of the article seem appropriate for academic settings. However, proofreading and editing are still needed to correct grammatical errors and typos which can affect the credibility of the research.</p>	
	<p>example :</p> <p>3.7 Sampling method</p> <p>The sampling for this study was done using the simple random sampling method. The names of the various communities in Ekpoma and irruawere written on pieces of papers, folded and then placed in an enclosed container. <u>Shaken together, the two communities selected by balloting.</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	This article is a significant contribution, within the scope of the journal and suitable for publication after some revision.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Mohamad Javier
Department, University & Country	University of Indonesia, Indonesia