

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_115216
Title of the Manuscript:	Multi-feature learning adaptive underwater image enhancement network
Type of the Article	Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, The manuscript titled "Multi-feature learning adaptive underwater image enhancement network" is likely important for the scientific community working on underwater exploration and computer vision.</p> <p>No Multi-feature learning adaptive network for underwater image enhancement</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some more references related to manuscript should be added (5 to 6)</p> <p>The metrics parameters PSNR and SSIM are directly used before giving its full form and meaning. The conclusion is to be rewritten properly with indicating the value of metrics improvement in percentage</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Its good, but it is to be improved. The minor revision is required before accepting the paper	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Well written article, but some comments have been given after reviewing the article. So minor revision in article is necessary	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shakil. A. Shaikh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pravara Rural Engineering College, India</b>