

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115077
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative efficacy of different biopesticides against the Tobacco Caterpillar, <i>Spodoptera litura</i> (Fabricius)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it has significance. Production of tobacco is essential to the economy. So there is a pressing need to pursue studies like this to mitigate pest problems in such commodity. In addition, there are only few literature on tobacco, hence this contribution may help add the existing lieterature.</p> <p>Effect of Biological Control Agents (BCA) at Different Amounts in Controlling Tobacco Caterpillar (<i>Spodoptera litura</i>)</p> <p>The abstract does not mentions the conclusion and the recommendation.</p> <p>The Conclusion and recommendations are missing.</p> <p>It is. However, it was observed that the treatments are not properly distributed. I mean, doses are applied to some BCA's but not to all</p> <p>Lack of references. And thus, it was observed that the dates are obsolete. Perhaps there has happened second hand citations in here, Please countercheck citations.</p> <p>Citations with et al., please write in italic manner. Observe spaces and spelling</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Accepted. Only it was observed that there are terms that are not in layman; Please write in layman's term if it's not highly technical</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Comment [Y1]: Tobacco

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yvette D. Medrano
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Cagayan State University, Philippines