

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115034
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Evaluation of Native Rhizobium of Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) and Soybean (<i>Glycine max</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Definitely, it is very important for the scientific community because of the isolation of rhizobia from different crops is unique and important. So, as much as possible encouraging scientist to isolate and characterize rhizobia from all leguminous plants is the basic for farther study of soil microbiology.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. Abstract of this article is best more than any parts of article</p> <p>4. It is appropriate</p> <p>5. Scientifically it is correct</p> <p>6. The reference is sufficient, but few of them are very old more than expected, the expert must use recently published paper than the old one.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The idea of the article is easily understandable for any who has basic English communication skills because of English quality of the article is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some important corrections are very important</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Spelling Grammar Word choice <p>These three points can be fixed online simply.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No!</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wakjira Bekele Tufa
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia