

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_115143
Title of the Manuscript:	Generating functions for a new class of recursive polynomials
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is significant.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references of the manuscript are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article needs some improvement to meet the standards of scholarly communication. It could be beneficial to revise the paper to enhance its English language proficiency.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction section can be enriched to allow for a more detailed discussion of the importance of the article and previous studies. There are inconsistencies in the capitalization of some terms throughout the article. It is recommended to use square brackets instead of double brackets in quotations. Including only the first author's name in in-text citations may be misleading; such situations should be avoided. Mathematical expressions must be in math mode, and text expressions should be in text mode. Appropriate references should be given to the findings in Tables 1 and 2. Table 3 should be placed in a suitable place. The first four theorems in the fourth chapter can be combined into the same theorem. Punctuation should be reviewed and explanatory sentences in proofs should be improved. Ensuring tense harmony in the sentences in the conclusion section is also recommended. A comprehensive review of the entire article regarding English language proficiency should be beneficial for fully understanding the article.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Efruz Özlem Mersin
Department, University & Country	Aksaray University, Turkey