

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_115338</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancement of Growth and Yield of Cotton by organic and inorganic Nutrients with Phytohormone</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Enhancement of Growth and Yield of Cotton by organic and inorganic Nutrients with Phytohormone</b></p> <p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community since the output of this research could help the agriculture sector of the said country to increase their production of cotton</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article was comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is scientifically correct since the nature of the study was done thru scientific way</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient enough</p> <p>Additional Comments:</p> <p>1. the introduction discussed very well the issue about the cotton, its status in the market and the related studies about it</p> <p>2. Improve the methodology by explaining further the different procedure involve in obtaining the desired data. Also include the literature or basis of the different procedure.</p> <p>3. The result of the study was presented very well. However, it is recommended to the data presented in the table can be further improved by minimizing its content or by only including those data that are necessary.</p> <p>4. The conclusion was stated very-well.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jomell M. Santiago</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines</b>