

Enhancement of Growth and Yield of Cotton by organic and inorganic Nutrients with Phytohormone

Abstract

Cotton is an important fibre and cash crop in India, contributing significantly to the country's industrial and agricultural economies. It provides the textile industry with its main raw material (cotton fibre). Cotton in India is a direct source of income for 6 million farmers, while the cotton trade and processing employs approximately 40-50 million people. Agriculture in the twenty-first century has encountered numerous hurdles in meeting the expanding population's food and fibre needs. Humans will confront greater challenges in meeting their requirements as the population grows to reach nine billion by 2050.

A field experiment was conducted in Farmer's Field at Jayapuram Village, Natrampalli Taluk in Thirupathur District during Aug-Jan (2021-22) to investigate the effect of soil application of NPK fertilizers, Zn-enriched compost and foliar spray of ZnO₂ and NAA on enhancement of cotton yield and quality in sandy loam soil.

Fertilizers (N: P₂O₅: K₂O) were sprayed at the appropriate dose (60:30:30 kg ha⁻¹) using Urea, SSP, and MOP. Zn-enriched compost (Zn-EC) was treated at 1.0 t ha⁻¹ as a basal one week before sowing. As per treatment, ZnO₂ at 0.1% was applied twice during the vegetative and blooming periods of the crop. The treatment included spraying NAA at 40 ppm twice during flowering and boll formation. Cotton cv. Sabari was cultivated as a test crop using suggested cultural methods. The study examined how different treatments affected growth, yield characteristics, quality, and soil health.

Keywords: Cotton, Zinc oxide, Compost, NAA, foliar spray.

Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) is a crucial commercial crop in India, known as "white gold" or "queen of fibres". It is a versatile cash crop that plays a significant role in the Indian economy, particularly in the textile industry which is cotton based. India is a major producer and exporter of cotton yarn. The textile sector in India accounts for 11% of industrial production, 14% of manufacturing, 4% of GDP, and 12% of total exports.

Cotton is one of the important industrial crops playing a vital role in the history of mankind and civilization by providing the basic fibres of typical nature for clothing cellulose from its lint and oil (Deshpande *et al.* 2014).

Cotton plays a considerable role in the economic development worldwide and important source of fibre, oil, and animal feed. In the twenty-first century, agriculture has faced many challenges to produce food and fibre needs of the growing population. Since the population will be increased over nine billion by 2050, human beings may face more problems in satisfying their needs (Sohairet *et al.* 2018).

Cotton productivity is quite low in India, with a production contribution of only 9% compared to 22% in China and 19.4% in the United States. (FAO, 2009).

Cotton yield is low due to factors such as Rainfed cultivation, inefficient cultivars, pests, excessive vegetative growth, boll shedding, and insufficient nitrogen delivery. (Patil *et al.* 2012 and Jayakumar *et al.* 2014).

Nitrogen (N) in cotton regulates growth, prevents abscission of squares and bolls, promotes photosynthetic activity, and increases the amount of freshly formed bolls and weight.

Cotton growth is limited by phosphorus shortage, particularly during the early phases of growth. In addition, P is a necessary nutrient and a vital component of numerous key plant cells include several substances, such as sugar-phosphates for respiration, photosynthesis, membrane phospholipids, nucleotides for energy metabolism, and DNA/RNA molecules. Phosphorus deficit leads to slower leaf growth and lower photosynthetic rates per unit area.

Potassium (K) plays a crucial function in carbohydrate metabolism and metabolite transfer from leaves to developing bolls during fruit production and maturity. Potassium Enhances crop leaf photosynthetic rates, assimilates CO₂, and promotes carbon transport. Zinc (Zn) is necessary for the manufacture of tryptophan, which is a precursor to the hormone IAA, which inhibits square and boll abscission. Zinc deficiency symptoms include tiny leaves, shortened internodes, stunted growth, diminished boll set, and smaller boll size.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted in Farmer's Field at Jayapuram Village, Natrampalli Taluk in Thirupathur District during August, 2021-January, 2022 to investigate the effect of soil application of NPK fertilizers, Zn-Enriched compost and foliar spray of ZnO₂ and NAA on

enhancement of cotton yield and quality in sandy loamsoil. A Randomised block design (RBD) with three replications was used in the experiment to ascertain how different sources of nutrients will affect how cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) reacts.

List 1 :Treatment details

S. No.	Treatment No.	Details
1	T ₁	Control
2	T ₂	100%RDF(N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O)(60:30:30kgha ⁻¹)
3	T ₃	75%RDF+Zn– EC @ 1.0 t ha ⁻¹
4	T ₄	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%
5	T ₅	75%RDF+NAA@ 40ppm
6	T ₆	75%RDF+Zn– EC @ 1.0 t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%
7	T ₇	75%RDF+FS – ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%(F.S)+NAA @ 40 ppm
8	T ₈	75%RDF+Zn– EC @ 1.0 t ha ⁻¹ +NAA @40 ppm
9	T ₉	75%RDF+Zn– EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%(F.S)+NAA @ 40 ppm

GROWTH ATTRIBUTES

Plant height

The Plant height was recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest and their mean was calculated. The height was measured from the basal point of a plant to the cotyledonary node to the opened leaf of the main stem which was expressed in cm.

Leaf Area Index (LAI)

LAI was calculated using the formula suggested by Iruthayaraj and Sivaraj (1979). The leaf area was measured in tagged cotton plants at the flowering stage and at harvest was calculated.

$$LA = L \times W \times CF \times NL \times NP$$

Where

LA = Leaf area (cm²)

L = Length of the leaf (cm)

W = Width of the leaf (cm)

CF = Correction Factor (0.7)

NL = Number of leaves plant⁻¹

NP = Number of plants unit area⁻¹

LAI = $K L \times W \times$ Number of leaves per hill/Area occupied by plant

Dry matter production (DMP)

The plants for estimating dry matter production were cut close to the ground at 30, 60, and 90 and at harvest to estimate DMP. The collected samples were chopped, air dried and then oven-dried at 120°C till concordant values were obtained. The dry weight of the samples were recorded and expressed in kg ha⁻¹.

YIELD CHARACTERS

Number of monopodial branches plant⁻¹

The number of Monopodial branches arising from auxiliary buds was counted and recorded plant⁻¹ at maturity.

Number of sympodial branches plant⁻¹

Sympodial branches develop from the extra-auxiliary or accessory buds. The sympodial branches develop at the upper nodes of the main axis. The number of sympodial branches was counted and recorded plant⁻¹ at maturity.

Number of Squares plant⁻¹

The buds of flowers appearing first as small pyramidal shaped green structures are called squares. The total number of squares plant⁻¹ was recorded cumulatively up to 65 DAS.

Number of bolls Plant⁻¹

The total number of bolls picked at each picking plant⁻¹ till the harvest was summed up and recorded.

Boll weight (g)

Five matured bolls were picked randomly from the five tagged plants in each plot were weighed and the mean boll weight was recorded and expressed in gram (g).

Yield of seed cotton (kg ha⁻¹)

Seed cotton yield was recorded net plot wise for every picking. The picked seed cotton was dried in shade and then weighed. The total seed cotton yield was computed and recorded as kg ha⁻¹.

Results and discussion

Growth Parameters

Plant height

Plant height is a direct index to measure the growth and vigour of plants. Plants supplied with 75%RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1% + NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) recorded maximum values for plant height at all growth stages.(15.2, 48.3, 69.6 and 143.2 cm).

Nitrogen is the most important nutrient in increasing the growth of crop (Wienhold *et al.*, 1995). Application of RDF along with zinc sulphate resulted in increased plant height might be due to better uptake and translocation of plant to growing plants and more photosynthesis which in turn promoted plant height. Similar results were observed by Abdallah and Mohamed (2013). This was also might be due to application of Zn through ZnSO₄ or enriched Zn organics along with bio fertilizer, which enhanced plant growth, increased plant metabolites and encouraged the growth of microorganisms as well as organic matter. Further, the presence of organic manure may be tended to slow and steady supplement nutrients continuously to the growing plants. These results are in conformity with Veeranagappa *et al.* (2010).

Table: 1 Effect of inorganic fertilizers, Zn-enriched compost and phyto-hormone on Plant height (cm) at different growth stages of cotton *cv.* Sabari

Treatment No.	TreatmentDetails	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T ₁	Control	11.5	37.7	58.8	111.8
T ₂	100%RDF(N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O)(60:30:30 kgha ⁻¹)	14.2	46.1	67.2	136.4
T ₃	75%RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹	12.3	40.7	62.0	121.7
T ₄	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%	12.0	39.3	60.4	118.9
T ₅	75%RDF+NAA @40ppm	12.9	42.6	63.6	126.3
T ₆	75%RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%	13.0	42.7	64.0	127.7

T ₇	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @0.1%+NAA@40 ppm	13.6	44.4	65.7	132.1
T ₈	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +NAA@40 ppm	14.6	46.5	67.8	138.6
T ₉	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%+NAA@40ppm	15.2	48.3	69.6	143.2
S. Ed		0.26	0.69	0.78	2.07
CD(P=0.05)		0.52	1.29	1.55	4.08

Number of leaves plant⁻¹

The highest number of leaves plant⁻¹ at 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest stage were 9.6, 48.6, 62.5 and 56.7 were recorded with 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) resulted in increased nitrogen accumulation and thereby improved vegetative growth and number of leaves. Zinc enriched organics also played a role as a co- factor in the enzymatic reaction of the anabolic pathway in plant growth, number of leaves plant⁻¹ (Chatterjee and Chatterjee, 2000). This was also due to the activities of various types of enzymes such as those required for CO₂ pathway and chlorophyll biosynthesis might have increased the vegetative growth of cotton (Buri *et al.* 2004).

Table: 2 Effect of inorganic fertilizers, Zn-enriched compost and Phyto-hormone on number of leaves plant⁻¹ at different growth stages of cotton cv. Sabari

Treatment No.	Treatment Details	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T ₁	Control	7.1	25.8	33.6	29.1
T ₂	100% RDF(N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O)(60:30:30 kg ha ⁻¹)	9.0	44.2	56.7	51.2
T ₃	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹	7.6	31.9	45.9	36.9
T ₄	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%	7.5	29.1	40.2	33.0
T ₅	75%RDF+NAA@ 40 ppm	7.9	35.8	50.7	40.8
T ₆	75%RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%	8.1	36.7	51.6	41.8
T ₇	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @0.1%+NAA@40 ppm	8.6	40.4	52.1	46.7

T ₈	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +NAA@40 ppm	9.1	45.1	58.1	52.7
T ₉	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%+ NAA@40ppm	9.6	48.6	62.5	56.7
S. Ed		0.16	0.75	1.00	0.87
CD (P=0.05)		0.33	1.48	1.99	1.70

Leaf area index

Number of leaves has a direct influence over leaf area index. The increases in leaf area index in cotton due to that the leaf elongation due to application of NAA@40ppm. Similar findings were observed by Rajendran *et al.*(2011).

Leaf area index of cotton increased from 3.29 to 3.98 at harvest stage might be due to supply of inorganic fertilizers along with zinc sulphate, which increased the availability of nutrients and enhanced various growth hormones considerably resulting in a positive effect on leaf area index of cotton. Similar results are supported by the findings of More *et al.* (2018). Similar findings were also observed by Mir *et al.* (2010) with foliar applications of growth regulators like NAA significantly increased leaf area index of cotton.

Table: 3 Effect of inorganic fertilizers, Zn-enriched compost and Phyto-hormone on leaf area index at different growth stages of cotton *cv.* Sabari

Treatment No.	Treatment Details	At Flowering	At Harvest
T ₁	Control	1.88	3.29
T ₂	100%RDF(N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O)(60:30:30 kgha ⁻¹)	2.25	3.82
T ₃	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹	2.00	3.49
T ₄	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%	2.01	3.41
T ₅	75%RDF+NAA@ 40 ppm	2.09	3.58
T ₆	75%RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%	2.10	3.67

T ₇	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @0.1%+NAA@40 ppm	2.18	3.71
T ₈	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +NAA@40 ppm	2.29	3.85
T ₉	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%+ NAA@40ppm	2.38	3.98
S. Ed		0.03	0.05
CD(P=0.05)		0.07	0.09

Number of branches plant⁻¹

Monopodial branches plant⁻¹

The plot supplied with 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 tha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1% + NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) has recorded maximum value of 2.25 for monopodial branches plant⁻¹. The results are in agreement with the findings of Kaleriet *al.* (2017). The higher number of monopodial branches plant⁻¹ due to the reason that organic sources of nutrients play important role in sustaining the productivity by not only acting as source of nutrients but also by modifying soil physical behaviour as well as increased

Efficiency of applied nutrients. Similar findings were observed by Vani *et al.* (2020).

Sympodial branches plant⁻¹

Plants supplied with 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1%+ NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) recorded the highest number of sympodial branches plant⁻¹(13.19). The number of sympodial branches increased with NPK fertilizers. Similar results were observed by Baraichet *al.* (2012). Plant height has a positive correlation with the number of sympodial branches (Hussain *et al.* 2000).

Application of recommended NPK along with zinc sulphate resulted increase in sympodial branches plant⁻¹ of cotton might be due to better uptake and translocation of plant to growing plants and more photosynthesis which in turn promoted sympodial branches per plant of cotton. The results are in agreement with the findings of Eleyanet *al.* (2014) and Singh *et al.* (2015). The higher sympodial branches plant⁻¹ also due to adequate and steady supply of nutrients from enriched compost throughout crop growth period. Similar results are observed by Vani *et al.* (2020).

Table 4: Effectofinorganicfertilizers,Zn-enrichedcompostandphyto-hormoneon monopodial

and sympodial branches plant⁻¹ of cotton cv. Sabari

Treatment No.	TreatmentDetails	Monopodial branchesplant ⁻¹ (Before Flowering)	Sympodial branches plant ⁻¹ (After lowering)
T ₁	Control	1.62	8.07
T ₂	100%RDF(N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O)(60:30:30kgha ⁻¹)	2.08	12.35
T ₃	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹	1.80	9.67
T ₄	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%	1.71	8.88
T ₅	75%RDF+NAA@ 40 ppm	1.87	10.58
T ₆	75%RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%	1.92	10.64
T ₇	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @0.1%+NAA@40 ppm	1.98	11.51
T ₈	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +NAA@40 ppm	2.14	12.39
T ₉	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%+ NAA@40ppm	2.25	13.19
S. Ed		0.04	0.36
CD (P=0.05)		0.08	0.73

Dry matter production (kg ha⁻¹)

Application of 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1%+ NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) has recorded the maximum values for dry matter production at 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest stage were 208.36, 1094.85, 2761.30 and 5260.45 kg ha⁻¹.

These growth parameters enable the plant to ensure higher quantity of radiant energy with higher leaf surface area to convert it into chemical energy. This helps in accumulation of higher dry matter in cotton (Harinisri, 2021).

The higher dry matter production coupled with maximum translocation of dry matter into sink decides the yield of any crop. Similar findings were observed by Tayade and Dhoble (2010).

Zinc has favorable effect on photosynthetic activity of leaves and plant metabolism which might account for higher accumulation of metabolites in reproductive organs. Similar results were observed by Sawan (2020).

Table 5: Effect of inorganic fertilizers, Zn-enriched compost and phyto-hormone on dry matter production (kg ha^{-1}) at different stages of cotton *cv.* Sabari

Treatment No.	Treatment Details	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T ₁	Control	135	937	1894	3985
T ₂	100% RDF (N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O) (60:30:30 kg ha^{-1})	194	1067	2565	4984
T ₃	75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha^{-1}	159	972	2052	4320
T ₄	75% RDF + ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%	148	998	2114	4144
T ₅	75% RDF + NAA @ 40 ppm	170	1020	2182	4505
T ₆	75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha^{-1} + ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%	173	1023	2304	4598
T ₇	75% RDF + ZnO ₂ @ 0.1% + NAA @ 40 ppm	183	1046	2438	4790
T ₈	75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha^{-1} + NAA @ 40 ppm	197	1073	2633	5065
T ₉	75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha^{-1} + ZnO ₂ @ 0.1% + NAA @ 40 ppm	208	1095	2761	5260
S. Ed		3.46	10.38	46.23	90.80
CD (P=0.05)		6.89	20.60	91.63	182.80

Number of squares plant⁻¹

Among the different treatments tried, application of 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha^{-1} + ZnO₂ @ 0.1% + NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) has recorded the maximum number of squares plant⁻¹ (34.19). This might be due to foliar application of NAA increased retention of squares plant⁻¹.

Similar findings were observed by Jadhav *et al.*, (2015) and Sabale *et al.* (2018). Zinc is required in the synthesis of tryptophan, which is a precursor of IAA (Indole acetic acid) synthesis, which is the hormone that inhibits abscission of squares and bolls.

Similar finding was noticed by Sawan (2020). The higher number of squares at flowering due to the enhanced supply of readily available macro and micronutrients apart from availability of native soil nutrients coupled with the improved efficiency of the applied inorganic fertilisers. These results are conformity with the findings of Vani *et al.* (2020).

Number of bolls plant⁻¹

Nitrogen accumulation improves the number of bolls in cotton. Similar results were observed by Zhang *et al.*, (2019). The highest numbers of bolls plant⁻¹ (20.81) was observed with 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1%+ NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉). The total number of bolls increased due to the combined application of N and K rates compared with the sole application of N. Similar finding was reported by Akhtar *et al.* (2022).

Foliar application of NAA resulted in high vegetative growth and by a series of reproductive parameter like higher number of bolls plant-1 which in turns reflected in higher seed cotton yield. These results were confirmed by Jadhav *et al.* (2015) and Sabaleet *et al.* (2018).

Application of 100% NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ resulted in efficient translocation of photosynthates due to adequate amount of available nutrients that favored higher number of bolls plant⁻¹. These findings are in line with those reported by Vani *et al.* (2020).

Boll weight (g)

The combined application of 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1%+ NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) recorded highest boll weight of 3.49g in cotton. Exogenous application of phyto-hormones accelerates biomass accumulation, reduce boll drop and promotes the initiation and growth of fibre cells as a result boll weight got increased. Increase in boll weight is a major constituent in the parameters that defines the yield was obtained. Similar results were observed by Ahmed *et al.* (2018).

Application of foliar nutrients in plants increases the boll setting ratio, increases the weight and size of bolls. Similar finding was noticed by Srivastava *et al.* (2010).

Table 6: Effect of inorganic fertilizers, Zn-enriched compost and phyto-hormone on number of squares plant⁻¹, number of bolls plant⁻¹ and boll weight (g) of cotton cv. Sabari

Treatment No.	Treatment Details	No. of squares plant ⁻¹	No. of bolls plant ⁻¹	Boll weight (g)
T ₁	Control	23.87	14.23	2.53
T ₂	100% RDF(N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O)(60:30:30 kg ha ⁻¹)	31.82	19.34	3.31
T ₃	75% RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹	26.81	16.12	2.87
T ₄	75% RDF+ZnO ₂ @0.1%	25.26	15.25	2.78
T ₅	75% RDF+NAA @ 40 ppm	28.19	17.06	3.02

T ₆	75%RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%	28.67	17.49	3.06
T ₇	75%RDF+ZnO ₂ @0.1%+NAA@40 ppm	30.28	18.46	3.18
T ₈	75%RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +NAA@40 ppm	32.60	19.92	3.37
T ₉	75%RDF+ZnEC@ 1.0tha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%+ NAA@40ppm	34.19	20.81	3.49
S. Ed		0.57	0.41	0.05
CD (P=0.05)		1.14	0.80	0.11

Seed cotton yield (q ha⁻¹)

The highest seed cotton yield (1813.73 q ha⁻¹) was observed with application of 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1%+ NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉). An increase in seed cotton yield was due to increased plant height and leaf area index which resulted in increased photosynthetic activity thereby, increased translocation of photosynthates from the source to sink. Thus, photosynthates tend towards the development of reproductive components, resulted in increased seed cotton yield.

Foliar application of NAA resulted in high vegetative growth and by a series of reproductive parameters *viz.*, maximum retention of squares plant⁻¹, higher number of bolls plant⁻¹ and higher mean boll weight which in turns reflected in higher seed cotton yield. These findings were observed by Jadhav *et al.* (2015) and Sabale *et al.* (2018).

Application of potassium in cotton is also believed to extend N absorption, which causes vigorous vegetative growth and ultimately increased yield. Several other studies have reported an improvement in seed-cotton yield and fibre quality due to k application in cotton. Similar findings were recorded by Hussain *et al.* (2018).

The increase in seed cotton yield is also due to higher number of leaves that provides the photo assimilates and as a result of delayed senescence of leaves for an extended period. These results are similar with Russel (2006). Application of NAA with micronutrient significantly increased the seed cotton yield. These results are reported by Hallikeri *et al.* (2002).

Balanced fertilizer application of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O + NAA is essential to sustain long term cotton yield by increased number of bolls plant⁻¹ and boll weight. Similar result was reported by Rajendran *et al.* (2011).

The yield is a dependent variable and is the resultant of growth parameters, yield attributes and nutrient uptake. Higher seed cotton yield could be due to the greater and consistent nutrient availability throughout crop growth period due to conjunctive use of enriched compost (ready source of macro and micronutrients) and inorganic sources of nutrients that registered improved growth parameters (dry matter production) and yield attributes. These results are in line with those Ananthakrishnan *et al.*, (2020).

Application of zinc enriched organic manures increases higher yield attributes by the supply of zinc and nitrogen till harvest stage and larger supply of mineralized nitrogen to the plants. (Meena *et al.* 2008). Zinc also has an important role for the increase in photosynthate, which translocate and produced higher number of pods and consequently increase in number of seeds in green gram (Kodeeswaran, 2015).

Table 7: Effect of inorganic fertilizers, Zn-enriched compost and phyto-hormone on seed cotton yield (kg ha⁻¹) at harvest stage of cotton *cv.* Sabari

Treatment No.	Treatment Details	Seed cotton yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Control	981.64
T ₂	100% RDF (N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O) (60:30:30 kg ha ⁻¹)	1628.35
T ₃	75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha ⁻¹	1235.27

T ₄	75% RDF+ZnO ₂ @ 0.1%	1107.35
T ₅	75% RDF+NAA@ 40 ppm	1354.37
T ₆	75% RDF+Zn EC @ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%	1380.94
T ₇	75% RDF+ZnO ₂ @0.1%+NAA@40 ppm	1503.72
T ₈	75% RDF+ZnEC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +NAA@40 ppm	1694.40
T ₉	75% RDF+Zn EC@ 1.0t ha ⁻¹ +ZnO ₂ @0.1%+ NAA@40ppm	1813.73
S. Ed		47.96
CD(P=0.05)		95.96

Conclusion

Considering the salient findings in perspective, the present investigation clearly concluded that 75% RDF + Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + ZnO₂ @ 0.1% + NAA @ 40 ppm (T₉) was the best treatment for enhancing growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake by cotton *cv.* Sabari. This was followed by 75% RDF+ Zn EC @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ + NAA @ 40 ppm (T₈) was on par with 100% RDF (T₂). It is also concluded that soil application of 75% recommended NPK, zinc enriched compost @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ and foliar spray of ZnO₂ @ 0.1% and NAA @ 40 ppm is an economical and feasible practice for the farmers for improving the yield and quality of cotton grown in sandy loam soil.

Reference

1. Ananthkrishnan, S., & Backiyavathy, M. R. (2020). Effect of Macronutrient Mixtures on Growth and Yield of Cotton (var. CO 14). **Madras Agric. J.**, 107 (4-6):204-209.
2. Abdallah, A.M and H.F.Y. Mohamed. 2013. Effect of foliar application of some micronutrients and growth regulators on some Egyptian cotton cultivars. **J. Appl. Sci. Res.**, 9(6):3496-3507.
3. Ahmed, N., S. Masood, M. Abid, G. Mustafa, M.A. Ali, S. Ahmad and M.F. Qayyum. 2018. Determination of Residual and cumulative boron requirements for cotton and wheat

- crops grown under calcareous soil conditions. **Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.**, **49**(9): 1092-1098.
4. Akhtar, M.N., T. Ul-Haq, F. Ahmad, M. Imran, W. Ahmed, A. Ghaffar, M. Shahid, M. H. Saleem, H. Alshaya, M. K. Okla and S. Ali. 2022. Application of potassium along with nitrogen under varied moisture regimes improves performance and nitrogen-use efficiency of high-and-low-potassium efficiency cotton cultivars. **Agron.**, **12**(2):502.
 5. Baraich, A. A. K., A. H. K. Baraich, L. A. Jamali and A. U. Salarzi. 2012. Effect of nitrogen application rates on growth and yield of cotton varieties. **Pak. J. Agric., Agric. Engg Veteri. Sci.**, **28**(2):115-123.
 6. Buri, M.M., R.N. Issaka, T. Wakatsuki and El Otoo. 2004. Soil organic amendments and mineral fertilizers, option for sustainable lowland rice production in forest agro-ecology of Ghana. **Agric. Food. Sci. J. Ghana.**, **3**: 237-248.
 7. Chatterjee and Chatterjee. 2000. Zinc stress changes in the metabolism of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*). **Ind. J. Agric. Sci.**, **7**(1): 1156-1162.
 8. Deshpande, A.N., Masram, R. S. and B.M. Kamble. 2014. Effect of fertilizer levels on nutrient availability and yield of cotton on Vertisol at Rahuri, District Ahmednagar, **J. Appl. Nat. Sci.**, **6**(2): 534-540.
 9. Eleyan S., E. D. Abodahab, A. A. Abdallah, M. Amany and H. A. Rabeh. 2014. Foliar application of Boron and Zinc effects on growth, yield and fiber properties of some Egyptian cotton cultivars (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). **Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci.**, **7**(13): 1274-1282.
 10. FAO, FAO Statistical Database. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2009. [www.http://faostat.fao.org](http://faostat.fao.org).
 11. Hallikeri, S. S., H. L. Halemani, B. M. Khadi. 2002. Integrated foliar nutrition for yield maximization of rainfed cotton. **Karnataka J. Agri. Sci.**, **15**(3): 562-565.
 12. Harini Sri, S., D. Kalyanasundaram and S.R. Vinodkumar. 2021. Impact of Foliar Nutrition and Hormonal Application on The Status of Soil Applied Nutrients In Rice Fallow Cotton. **J. Plant. Dev. Sci.**, **13**(6): 369-372.

13. Hussain, S.S., Azhar, F.M. and Mahmood, I., 2000. Path coefficient and correlation analysis of some important plant traits of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. **Pak. J. Biol. Sci. (Pakistan)**.
14. Hussein, M. M. and N. H. Abou-Baker. 2018. The contribution of nano-zinc to alleviate salinity stress on cotton plants. **Royal Soc. Open Sci.**, **5**(8): 171- 809.
15. Iruthiyaraj, M.R. and A. Sivaraj. 1979. Estimation of cotton leaf area. **Curr. Sci.**, **8**:5-16.
16. Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil chemical analysis. Asia Publication house, Bombay. P.498
17. Jadhav, S.G., Chavan, D.A. and Y. M. Waghmare. 2015. Effect of plant spacing, growth regulator and nutrient management on yield, quality and economics of Bt cotton. **J. Cotton Res. Dev.**, **29**(1):48-52.
18. Jayakumar, M., U. Surendran and P. Manickasundaram. 2014. Drip fertigation effects on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility of Bt Cotton in semiarid tropics. **Int. J. Plant Prod.**, **8**(3): 375-390.
19. Kaleri, A.H., A.A. Kaleri, S. Memon, A.L. Laghari, S. Bano, M. Mallano and M.H. Kaleri. 2017. Effect of Different Levels of Zinc on the Growth and Yield of Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Crop. **J. Basic Appl. Sci.**, **13**:307-310.
20. Kodeeswaran, J. 2015. Integrated nutrient management on the soil properties and yield of rice-blackgram cropping sequence in coastal saline soil. **M.Sc. (Ag). Thesis**, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar.
21. Lindsay, W. L., and W.A. Norvell. 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for Zinc, Iron, Manganese, and Copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, **42**(3): 421-428.
22. Meena, M.C., K.P. Patel and D.D. Rathod. 2008. Effect of Zn and Fe enriched FYM on yield and removal of nutrients under mustard- Sorghum fodder cropping sequence in semi- arid region of Gujarat. **Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res. Dev.**, **23**(2): 28-34.
23. Mir M.R., M. Mobin N.A. Khan, M.A. Bhat, N.A. Lone, K.A. Bhat, S.M. Razvi, S.A. Wani, N. Wani, S. Akhter, S. Rashid, N.H. Masoodi and W.A. Payne. 2010. Crop Responses to

- Interaction between plants growth regulators and nutrients. **J. Phytology.**, **10**: 09-19.
24. More, V.R., V.K. Khargkharate, N.V. Yelvikar and Y.B. Matre. 2018. Effect of Boron and Zinc on Growth and Yield of Bt. Cotton under Rainfed Condition. **Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.**, **6**(4): 566-570.
25. Patil, A.S.P, Chittapur, B.M. and Reddy, Sharanbhoopal (2012), Yield and nutrient status of Bt cotton hybrids under site specific nutrient management (SSNM) approach, **Internat. J. Forestry & Crop Improv.**, **3**(2):119-123.
26. Rajendran, K., A. Palchamy, K. Sankaranarayanan, K. Prabakaran and K. Bharathi. 2011. Enhancing productivity of summer irrigated cotton through plant growth regulator and foliar nutrition. **Madras Agric. J.**, **98**(7-9): 248-250.
27. Sabale, S.S., G.R. Lahane and S.A. Shaikh. 2018. Effect of various plant growth regulators on yield of American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). **J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.**, **7**(5):1203-1209.
28. Sawan, Z.M. 2020. Effects of Mineral Fertilizers and Plant Growth Retardants on Cotton seed Yield, Quality and Contents. **Int. J. Plant Biol. Res.**, **8**(2):1121.
29. Singh, K., P. Rathore and R.K. Gumber. 2015. Effects of foliar application of nutrients on growth and yield of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). **Bangladesh J. Bot.**, **44**(1):9-14.
30. Sohair, E. E. D., A. A. Abdall, A. M. Amany, M. F. Hossain and R. A. Houda. 2018. Evaluation of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Nano-Fertilizers on Yield, Yield Components and Fiber Properties of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium Barbadense* L.). **J. Plant Sci. Crop Prot.**, **1**(3): 302
31. Srivastava, A., G. Bhatia, R. Pant and P.C. Srivastava. 2010. Bioefficacy and residue studies of fantac (bio-stimulant) in rice crop under sub-tropical conditions. **J. Environ. Protection.**, **1**(3):261.
32. Tayade, A.S. and Dhoble, M.V., 2010. Effect of transgenic Bt cotton hybrid, nutrient and pest management on seed cotton yield, nutrient uptake and status of available nutrient in soil. **Indian J. Ferti.**, **6**(8), pp.34-40.
33. Vani. K. P, K. Banu Rekha and N. Nalini. 2020. Yield and nutrient uptake of Bt cotton as influenced by composted waste, organic and inorganic fertilizers. **Chem Sci Rev Lett.** **9**(34):432-441.
34. Veeranagappa, tP., H.C. Prakasha, M.K. Basavaraj and H.M. Sajeebulla. 2010. Effect of

zinc enriched compost on yield and nutrient uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). **European J. Biol. Res.**, **3(1)**: 23-29.

35. Wienhold, BJ, TP Trooien and GA Reichman. 1995. "Yield and nitrogen use efficiency of irrigated corn in the northern Great Plains." *Agron. J.*, 87(5):842-846.
36. Yoshida, S., D. A. Forno, J. H. Cook and K. A. Gomez. 1976. Laboratory manual for physiological studies of rice. Third ed. **IRRI**: 7-76
37. Zhang, X, H Yang, R Zahoor, B Chen, JL Snider and Z Zhou. 2019. "Integrated soil-cotton management system enhances nitrogen use efficiency under different soil fertility levels." **Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.**,1-16.

UNDER PEER REVIEW