

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113784
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Wheat Yield and Economic Viability in Subtropical Rainfed Agriculture through Combined Herbicide Application
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The combined herbicide application in sub-tropical rainfed agriculture has shown potential in enhancing wheat yield and economic viability. By effectively managing weed competition through herbicides, farmers can optimize crop growth and yield. This integrated approach not only boosts agricultural productivity but also improves profitability by reducing yield losses caused by weed infestations.</p> <p>Article title was suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive as it provides a summary of the main aspects covered in the study.</p> <p>Structure was good. I wrote comment for correction.</p> <p>This manuscript has all the advantages of a research article and it is scientifically important.</p> <p>Manuscript has sufficient references. I written comment for correction.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The written language of the article was understandable for the readers</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Hallim Majidi
Department, University & Country	Badakhshan University, Afghanistan