

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_115070</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Quantitative and qualitative analysis of potable water at water refill stations and purchasers perceptions within selected communities in Guyana</b>
Type of the Article	Research Paper

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>This manuscript addresses a timely topic and makes a relevant contribution to the field. This paper can be considered for publication However, some minor revisions are needed before it can be published.</b></p> <p><b>The title of the manuscript is very long</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p>The references are a bit outdated</p> <p>I have some suggestions for the manuscript. The literature review is promising, but disregards recent publications in this field. The authors conduct very relevant research, but fail to emphasise the relevance in their introduction. The manuscript contains an elaborate literature review, but definitions of the key concepts are needed in the introduction I believe that the authors are too humble and can put forward their claims much more confidently. They draw on extensive empirical evidence and make a valuable contribution to the field. This can - and should - be highlighted much more! In the discussion, the authors should link their empirical findings to the international literature. This will also help them to emphasise their original research contribution.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nabil Darwesh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Université Ibn Tofail, Yemen</b>