

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_115174
Title of the Manuscript:	Anal melanoma: An atypical case.
Type of the Article	Short communication

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In abstract: The conclusions of the abstract do not much with the conclusions of the study and seem very general since the authors have concentrated two parts of the plant chosen for the present investigation and it is not highlighted which one is gives better results or both.</li> <li>In introduction: The introduction part is presented in very brief and still there is a big gap in between the current study and existing literature. Authors are therefore suggested to expand the literature search to include more recent and relevant literature in the introduction section.</li> <li>In materials and methods: This section is fine, and the methods of each determination was general to use in the field.</li> <li>In results and discussion: The results of the present investigation need to be revised with a clear presentation of the findings in a more scientific way. Also, the discussion detail has to adding and fine some references to be citation.</li> <li>In conclusion: The limitation of the present study may be given along with conclusion or under separate heading for understanding the concepts clearly.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	None	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	None	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Utthapon Issara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand</b>