

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_113907
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT SUBSTRATES ON NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF SHIITAKE MUSHROOM (LENTINULA EDODES)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript lacks detailed explanations in the methods and proportions used. The importance of this research needs to be elaborated to clarify its benefits in the field of crop and harvesting. 2. Yes, but the type/name of the supplement used can be added. 3. The abstract needs to include the methodology used. 4. The structure is appropriate. 5. Correct 6. The literature used is too old; there are no recent references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Need some revisions	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please consider the suggestions given. Please provide more details in explaining the methodology and the results or trends in numerical form.</p> <p>Review</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. First page -> The methodology used needs to be added. 2. Page 2 introduction -> Try to use a single sentence for similar ideas and avoid complex sentences. Make sure to use the most recent references (from the last 10 years onwards). 3. Page 2, lines 35-37 -> Please add what types of organic materials are typically added and their proportions. 4. Page 2, lines 38-42 -> Before explaining the purpose of the research, it would be beneficial to briefly touch upon how cultivation is done and the major gap that indicates the need for this research. 5. Page 2, Materials and Methods -> Please specify whether the materials used were fresh or had been stored after harvesting. 6. Page 3, lines 52-53, line 72 -> Is the "per cent" mentioned supposed to be "%"? Please use standard notation. 7. Page 3, lines 62-65 -> Please explain when exactly the cultivation time is. 8. Page 3, lines 68, 76-77, 87-89 -> Use the equation editor in Word for equations. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>9. Page 3, line 85 -> Please check your grammar.</p> <p>10. Page 3, line 92 -> Please add the series of software.</p> <p>11. Page 4, lines 98-99 -> Why is it mentioned as non-significant? According to the numbers, it seems significant. The reason for this needs to be explained.</p> <p>12. Page 5 -> Please use standard notation like "%" and ensure to include the most recent references and use the correct style according to the journal guidelines.</p> <p>13. Page 5, lines 108-113 -> Please explain exactly how the results correspond to the references mentioned. Are these results desired or not?</p> <p>14. Page 5, line 114 -> Please state what supplement you used.</p> <p>15. Please add an explanation of the results from the statistical analysis.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anitarakhmi Handaratri
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology, Indonesia