

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJSSSE_116312</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Pervasive Threat of Fake News and Disinformation in the Digital Age: Challenges and Solutions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Communication.</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> <p>7. Is there any issue about the Clarity and adequacy of the method?</p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is important as to guide against the negative impacts of fake News and our shareability tendencies in this digital era.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, the title is not only suitable but also timely.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, the Abstract is comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, the subsections and manuscript structure are appropriate.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, it's follows logical scientific research structure.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, the references are recent and sufficient for its content.</b></p> <p>7. <b>The method is clear but I suggest a little table and figure illustration of data analysis would have given it a convincing Result. This is minor though as it qualifies the article as a short communication.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Sure.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is a short communication on a topical issue. It should be given an empirical approach.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nte Nathaniel Isioto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenule Beeson Saro-Wiwa Polytechnic, Nigeria</b>