

## Review Form 1.7

---

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_115465</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Management of a bilateral ectopia lentis in a patient with Marfan syndrome: a case report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>It is not a clinical case that provides new data to the topic of ectopia lentis surgery.</p> <p>Yes, the title is correct</p> <p>The abstract is correct</p> <p>The clinical case is complete, however the surgery is poorly described; generally in these cases intracapsular cataract extraction is accompanied by vitreous loss.</p> <p>Very few references are mentioned and they do not follow the Vancouver Standards.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is a clinical case that provides little data on the surgery (the subject of the title), for example the type of intraocular lens is not mentioned, it is confused whether it is sutured to the sulcus or the iris.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Guadalupe Tenorio Guajardo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Unam Universidad Nacional Autonoma De Mexico, Mexico</b>