

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_116107
Title of the Manuscript:	Combining Numeric Method and Visualization Method Together to Analyze Big Data and the Prediction of the Rate of Accidental Death In China's Coal Mining Industry
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It's a great perspective that is acknowledged in the abstract. It can be more explanatory and more novelised also.</p> <p>The title of the paper must be concise and eye catching. It is quite lengthy. I will suggest to find the more suitable one like," Initiative to analyse and predict the death rate in China."</p> <p>It is short. Some more points must be added as per the recent discoveries and inventions.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references and the data is quite precise. As per the demand of the title and the paper, the information is severely lacking. I will suggest to add some advance concepts relatable to the context. It is very shortly explained with less regard to the grammatical skills.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It must be more improved and the significance of the review should be clearly visible to the reader. It needs to have special significance towards the grammatical aspects and linguistic approach also.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deeksha Dogra
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India