

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_115308
Title of the Manuscript:	Metagenomic Study of Bacteria Diversity and Functional Profile in Bulk and Rhizosphere Soils of Fusarium-Wilt Infected Plantain (<i>Musa paradisiaca</i>)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, because this study was aimed at investigating the bacterial communities in bulk and rhizosphere soil of Fusarium wilt-infected plantain (<i>Musa paradisiaca</i>). The result of the study helped to reveal the diverse bacterial communities in fusarium wilt infected bulk and rhizosphere soil as well as their functional profile using metagenomics studies. The study also demonstrated that the bacterial community composition and diversity differ between bulk and rhizosphere soil of FW-infected soil.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, abstract of the article completely comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and according to scientific format.</p> <p>6. Yes, reference is sufficient and according to citation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafiza Ayesha Andleeb
Department, University & Country	Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore, Pakistan